arXiv:1505.05574v1 [math.RA] 21 May 2015

TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9947(XX)0000-0

WEAKLY AND COMPLETELY NILARY IDEALS

O. A. AL-MALLAH AND H. M. AL-NOGHASHI

Abstract. The present paper introduces and studies some new types of rings and ideals such as completely nilary rings (resp. completely nilary ideals), weakly nilary ideals. Some properties of each are obtained and some characterizations of each type are given.

Introduction

Let us say that an ideal I in a ring A is ***completely prime*** if A/I is a domain. We say I is ***completely semiprime*** if for every $a \in A$ such that $a^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $a \in I$. For more about semiprime and completely semiprime ideals see [**?**, p. 66] or [**?**] respectively.

Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$. The ideal I is called a ***(principally) right primary ideal*** if whenever J and K are (principal) ideals of A with $JK \subseteq I$, then either $J \subseteq I$ or $K^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integer n depending on J and K. The ideal I is called a ***(principally) nilary ideal*** if whenever J and K are (principal) ideals of A with $JK \subseteq I$, then either $J^m \subseteq I$ or $K^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n depending on J and K. A is said to be a ***(principally) right primary ring*** or ***(principal) nilary ring*** if the zero ideal is a (principal) right primary or a (principal) nilary ideal of A, respectively. See [**?**, Definition 1.1]

Throughout this paper, A is a ring, need not be commutative and not necessarily contains identity, unless otherwise stated and now, we introduce the following definitions and giving some examples.

1. COMPLETELY NILARY

In this section we introduce a new class of rings, called completely nilary ideal.

Definition 1.1. We call an ideal I of a ring A a ***completely nilary ideal*** if $a, b \in A$ with $ab \in I$ then $a^n \in I$ or $b^m \in I$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we call A a ***completely nilary ring*** if the zero ideal of A is a completely nilary ideal, equivalently if $a, b \in A$ such that $ab = 0$, then a is nilpotent or b is nilpotent.

These conditions is called completely nilary as their relation to the nilary conditions is the same as the relation between completely prime and prime. See [**?**].

Just as a nilpotent ring must be (p-)nilary, note that a nil ring will always be both completely nilary. However nil rings need not be (p-)nilary. Now, we give the following example to establish this fact.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary ???; Secondary ???

Key words and phrases. completely nilary, completely prime, weakly nilary ideals, weakly prime ideals.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

If S and T are two simple nil rings which are not nilpotent(such rings always exist, see [**?**]), then $A = S \oplus T$ is a nil ring, identifying S and T as ideals of A we have $ST = 0$. Since S and T are simple they are principal and since they are not nilpotent, A is not a p-nilary ring (and hence not a nilary ring), but on the other hand as A is nil, each of its elements is nilpotent, so A is trivially a completely nilary ring. Now, it is the time to give some characterizations of nilary ideals (nilary rings), p-nilary ideals (p-nilary rings) and completely nilary ideals (completely nilary rings).

It is necessary to mention that every prime ring is a nilary ring, since if A is a prime ring and I, J are ideals of A such that $IJ = 0$ and if I is not nilpotent, then $I \neq 0$, so there exists $0 \neq a \in I$. Now, for all $b \in J$, we have $aAb \subseteq IJ = 0$ and as A is a prime ring, we get $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and as $a \neq 0$, we have $b = 0$, so that $J = 0$ and thus J is nilpotent. Also, it can be shown that completely nilary rings are independent from p-nilary rings and nilary rings. Now, we give the following example to establish this fact.

It is known that $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a prime ring and one can easily check that $M_{2\times 2}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ is also prime and thus it is a nilary ring and hence a p-nilary ring, but it is not a completely nilary ring since if we take $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2\times 2}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$, then clearly $IJ = 0$ but neither I is nilpotent nor J. Note that previous example also illustrates that right (left) primary does not imply completely right (left) primary.

Proposition 1.2. *Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$. Then*
I is a completely prime ideal if and only if I is a completely semiprime and completely nilary ideal.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Clearly.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $a, b \in A$ with $ab \in I$. Since I is a completely nilary ideal, then $a^n \in I$ or $b^m \in I$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since I is a completely semiprime ideal, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Hence I is a completely prime ideal. □

Proposition 1.3. *Let $Q_1, ..., Q_n$ be completely nilary ideals of A such that $Q_k^s \subseteq Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ for some fixed $1 \leq k \leq n$ and some positive integer s. Then $Q_1 \cdots Q_n$ is a completely nilary ideal of A. Hence if Q is a completely nilary ideal of A, then so is Q^n, and A/Q^n is a nilary ring for each positive integer n.*

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in A$ such that $ab \in Q_1 \cdots Q_n \subseteq Q_k$. Since Q_k is completely nilary, then either $a^t \in Q_k$ or $b^m \in Q_k$ for some $t, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $a^{tns} \in Q_k^{ns} \subseteq Q_1 \cdots Q_n$ or $b^{mns} \in Q_k^{ns} \subseteq Q_1 \cdots Q_n$. □

Proposition 1.4. *Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$. Then:*
I is a completely nilary ideal if and only if A/I is a completely nilary ring.

Proof. Suppose A/I is a completely nilary ring. Let $a, b \in A$, with $ab \in I$. Therefore $(a + I)(b + I) \in I$, so $[(a + I)/I][(b + I)/I] = 0$. Hence either $[(a + I)/I]^n = 0$ for some positive integer n or $[(b+I)/I]^m = 0$ for some positive integer m. Then either $a^n \in I$ or $b^m \in I$. Hence I is a completely nilary ideal of A. □

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\phi : A \to \bar{A}$ be a surjective homomorphism, and let $I \trianglelefteq A$ with $Ker(\phi) \subseteq I$. Then:*
If I is completely nilary in A, then $\phi(I)$ is completely nilary of $\bar{A}$.

Proof. Let $K = Ker(\phi)$, $\bar{A} = A/K$. (i) Since I is a completely nilary ideal of A, then A/I is a completely nilary ring, by Proposition 1.4. Now, since $A/I \cong (A/K)/(I/K)$ and A/I is a completely nilary ring, then $(A/K)/(I/K)$ is a completely nilary ring. Thus, I/K is a completely nilary ideal of A/K, by Proposition 1.4. But $\phi(I) = I/K$. Hence $\phi(I)$ is a completely nilary ideal in $\bar{A}$. ☐

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\phi : A \to \bar{A}$ be a surjective homomorphism and let $I' \trianglelefteq \bar{A}$ with $I = \phi^{-1}(I')$. Then:*
If I' is completely nilary in $\bar{A}$, then I is completely nilary of A.

Proof. Let $\bar{A} = A/K$, (i) Since $I = \phi^{-1}(I')$ and ϕ is a surjective then $\phi(I) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(I')) = I'$ implies that $\phi(I) = I'$. Now, let $a, b \in A$ with $ab \in I$ then $\phi(ab) \in \phi(I) = I'$ implies that $\phi(a)\phi(b) \in I'$ and since I' is a completely nilary ideal of A/K then either $\phi(a)^n \in I'$ or $\phi(b)^m \in I'$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\phi(a)^n \in I'$. This implies that $\phi(a^n) \in I'$ hence $\phi^{-1}(\phi(a^n)) \in \phi^{-1}(I')$ implies that $a^n \in \phi^{-1}(\phi(a^n)) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(I') = I$. Hence $a^n \in I$. Similarly, If $\phi(b)^m \in I'$ then $b^m \subseteq I$. Therefore I is completely nilary in A. ☐

Corollary 1.7. *Let A be a ring and $I, K \trianglelefteq A$ with $K \subseteq I$.*
I is a completely nilary ideal of A iff I/K is a completely nilary ideal of A/K.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) See Proposition 1.5.

($\Leftarrow$) Assume that I/K is a completely nilary ideal of A/K. Put $I' = I/K$. By Proposition 1.6 then $\phi^{-1}(I') = I$. Hence I is a completely nilary ideal of A. ☐

Proposition 1.8. *Let A be a ring and $I \trianglelefteq A$.*
A/I is a completely nilary ring and I is nil, then A is a completely nilary ring.

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ with $ab = 0$. Then $ab \in I$. Since A/I is a completely nilary ring, then I is a completely nilary ideal, by Proposition 1.4(iii). Hence $a^n \in I$ or $b^m \in I$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since I is nil, then a^n and b^m are nilpotent, implies that a and b are nilpotent. Hence A is a completely nilary ring. ☐

Proposition 1.9. *If A is a commutative ring, then A is a p-nilary ring if and only if it is a completely nilary ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose A is a p-nilary ring and that $a, b \in A$ with $ab = 0$. Since A is commutative we get $\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle = \langle ab\rangle = 0$ and since A is a p-nilary ring so we get $\langle a\rangle$ is nilpotent or $\langle b\rangle$ is nilpotent. If $\langle a\rangle$ is nilpotent then $\langle a\rangle^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $a^n \in \langle a\rangle^n = 0$, that means a is nilpotent and if $\langle b\rangle$ is nilpotent by the same argument we get b is nilpotent and thus A is a completely nilary ring.

($\Leftarrow$) Now suppose A is completely nilary and let $c, d \in A$ such that $\langle c\rangle\langle d\rangle = 0$. Then $cd \in \langle c\rangle\langle d\rangle = 0$, and since A completely nilary implies c or d is nilpotent, say $c^n = 0$ or $c^m = 0$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $c^n = 0$ then $\langle c\rangle^n = 0$ because A is commutative. Similarly, if $d^m = 0$ then $\langle d\rangle^m = 0$. Thus A is a p-nilary ring. ☐

Corollary 1.10. *Let A be a ring and I is an ideal of A. If A/I is commutative then I is a p-nilary ideal if and only if I is a completely nilary ideal.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.4(ii), then I is a p-nilary ideal, iff A/I is a p-nilary ring. By Proposition 1.9, A/I is a p-nilary ring iff A/I is a completely nilary ring. By Proposition 1.4(iii), A/I is a completely nilary ring iff I is a completely nilary ideal. ☐

Proposition 1.11. *Let A be a ring. If A is a completely nilary ring in which all nil ideals are nilpotent then it is a nilary ring.*

Proof. Let I and J be two ideals of A with $IJ = 0$ and suppose that I is not nilpotent, so by the hypothesis I is not nil which implies that I contains an element a which is not nilpotent. Now, if $b \in J$ is any element, then $ab \in IJ = 0$, so that $ab = 0$ and as A is a completely nilary ring and a is not nilpotent we must have b is nilpotent. That means every element of J is nilpotent and so J is a nil ideal and hence it is a nilpotent ideal so that A is a nilary ring. □

Since a nil left (resp. a nil right) ideal of a left (resp. right) Noetherian ring is nilpotent and also a nil left (resp. a nil right) ideal of a left (resp. right) Artinian ring is nilpotent [**?**], so by combining these facts with Proposition 1.11 we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 1.12. *Let A be a ring. If A is a completely nilary ring and satisfies any one of the following conditions then it is a nilary ring.*
(1) A is a left (resp. a right) Artinian.
(2) A is a left (resp. a right) Noetherian.

Corollary 1.13. *Let A be a ring. If A is a p-nilary ring and satisfies any one of the following conditions then it is a nilary ring.*
(1) A is a left (resp. a right) Noetherian.
(2) The sum of any collection of nilpotent ideals of A is nilpotent.

Proof. By [**?**, p. 374], and by [**?**, Proposition 1.4(i)], (1) implies (2), (2) gives that A is a nilary ring and hence any one of the above conditions gives that A is a nilary ring. □

Corollary 1.14. *Let A be a ring with unity.*
If A is a completely nilary ring then either $char(A) = 0$ or $char(A) = p^\beta$ for some positive integer β, and p is prime.

2. WEAKLY NILARY

In this section we introduce a new class of rings, called weakly (p-)nilary ideal.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a ring and let I be a proper ideal of A.
We say that the ideal I is a ***weakly (p-)nilary ideal*** if whenever J and K are (principal) ideals of A with $0 \neq JK \subseteq I$, then either $J^m \subseteq I$ or $K^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n depending on J and K.

Clearly every (p-)nilary ideal is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal. But the converse is not true, as the following example tell us.

Example 2.2. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $I = \{0\} \trianglelefteq A$. Note that I is weakly nilary but it is not nilary.

Proposition 2.3. *Let I an ideal of A. Then:*
If A is a (p-)nilary ring and I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal of A then I is a (p-)nilary ideal of A.

Proof. Let $J, K \trianglelefteq A$, with $JK \subseteq I$. Now we have two cases.

Case 1: If $JK \neq 0$. Since I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal of A then $J^n \subseteq I$ or $K^m \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n, this implies that I is nilary.

Case 2: If $JK = 0$. Since A is a (p-)nilary ring, then either $J^t = 0$ or $K^s = 0$ for some positive integers t and s. Hence $J^t = 0 \subseteq I$ or $K^s = 0 \subseteq I$ and so $J^t \subseteq I$ or $K^s \subseteq I$. Therefore I is (p-)nilary ideal of A. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let I be a weakly (p-)nilary ideal of a ring A. Then either $I^2 = 0$ or I is a (p-)nilary ideal of A.*

Proof. Assume that $I^2 \neq 0$. Let $J, K \trianglelefteq A$, with $JK \subseteq I$. We have $JK \neq 0$, then either $J^n \subseteq I$ or $K^m \subseteq I$ for some positive integers m and n, this implies that I is nilary. So assume that $JK = 0$, now we have either $JI \neq 0$ or $JI = 0$.

Case 1: Assume that $JI \neq 0$, then there exists $x \in I$ such that $Jx \neq 0$, implies that $J\langle x\rangle \neq 0$. Now $0 \neq J\langle x\rangle = J\langle x\rangle + 0 = J\langle x\rangle + JK = J(\langle x\rangle + K) \subseteq I$ (Indeed $J\langle x\rangle \subseteq J \cap \langle x\rangle \subseteq \langle x\rangle \subseteq I$ and $x \in I$). Hence $0 \neq J(\langle x\rangle + K) \subseteq I$. Since I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal, then $J^t \subseteq I$ or $(\langle x\rangle + K)^s \subseteq I$ for some positive integers t and s, this implies that $J^t \subseteq I$ or $K^s \subseteq I$ (note that $K \subseteq (\langle x\rangle + K)$ implies $K^s \subseteq (\langle x\rangle + K)^s$).

Case 2: Assume that $JI = 0$. If $IK \neq 0$, then there exists $y \in I$ such that $yK \neq 0$ implies $\langle y\rangle K \neq 0$. Now $0 \neq \langle y\rangle K = \langle y\rangle K + 0 = \langle y\rangle K + JK = (\langle y\rangle + J)K \subseteq I$, hence $\neq 0(\langle y\rangle + J)K \subseteq I$, and since I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal, then $(\langle y\rangle + J)^\alpha \subseteq I$ or $K^\beta \subseteq I$ for some positive integers α and β. If $IK = 0$. Since $I^2 \neq 0$, there exist $w, z \in I$ such that $wz \neq 0$. implies $0 \neq \langle w\rangle\langle z\rangle$. Then

$$(\langle w\rangle + J)(\langle z\rangle + K) = \langle w\rangle\langle z\rangle + \langle w\rangle K + J\langle z\rangle + JK.$$

Now $JK = 0$. Also $\langle w\rangle K = 0$ because $IK = 0$. And $J\langle z\rangle = 0$ because $JI = 0$. But $\langle w\rangle\langle z\rangle \neq 0$. Hence

$$(\langle w\rangle + J)(\langle z\rangle + K) = \langle w\rangle\langle z\rangle \subseteq I,$$

implies that

$$0 \neq (\langle w\rangle + J)(\langle z\rangle + K) \subseteq I,$$

and since I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal, then $(\langle w\rangle + J)^\gamma \subseteq I$ or $(\langle z\rangle + K)^\lambda \subseteq I$ for some positive integers γ and λ. Hence $J^\gamma \subseteq I$ or $K^\lambda \subseteq I$. Therefore I is a (p-)nilary ideal. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a semiprime ring with $I \trianglelefteq A$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*
(i) I is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal;
(ii) $I = 0$ or I is a (p-)nilary ideal.

Next, we prove that weakly (p-)nilary ideals can be characterized by left as well as right ideals.

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a ring with unity. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) L is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal of A;
(ii) let I, J be (principal) right ideals of A with $0 \neq IJ \subseteq L$, then either $I^n \subseteq L$ or $J^m \subseteq L$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$;
(iii) let I, J be (principal) left ideals of A with $0 \neq IJ \subseteq L$, then either $I^n \subseteq L$ or $J^m \subseteq L$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii), let I, J be (principal) right ideals of A and $0 \neq IJ \subseteq L$. Hence

$$(AI)(AJ) = A(IA)J = A(I)J = AIJ \subseteq AL = L.$$

Since $IJ \neq 0$ then $AIJ \neq 0$ this implies that $(AI)(AJ) \neq 0$. Now, since L is a weakly (p-)nilary ideal, then either $(AI)^n \subseteq L$ or $(AJ)^m \subseteq L$. If $(AI)^n \subseteq L$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$I^n \subseteq (AI)^n.$$

Hence $I^n \subseteq L$, similarly, $J^m \subseteq L$.

(ii)⇒(i) Clearly. Similarly (iii)⇔(i). □

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Faisal, University, Al-Hasa, P.O. Box 380, Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: `omarmallah@yahoo.com`

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Faisal, University, Al-Hasa, P.O. Box 380, Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: `alnoghashi@hotmail.com`